Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,207	MUTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Kowert, Reg. No. 39,255.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 December 2009	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1,5,6,11		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Sathyanarayan Pannala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/809,207

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative for compact prosecution and suggested to combine claim 5 with claims 1, 6 and similarly claim 15 with claim 11, 16 for the possibility of allownace. Applicant agreed in consultation with client and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.